(1)
(3)
Flood

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 2132/2003.

PETITIONER

Krishnachand Mehar, son of Shri J.R. Mehar, aged about 49 years, II Officer, Branch Manager, Sarangarh, (General Secretary) (Mahasachiv), Raigarh Regional Rural Bank Officers Organization (Registration No. 5383 Raigarh, Chhattisgarh, resident of Mahipara, Boirdadar, Raigarh, Tah and Distt. Raigarh (CG).

P. R. No. 19631/03 Presented by Shri M.K. Devangan dated 12.12.03

RECEIVED COPY. 12/12/03 TO A.G. BILASPUR CLERK

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The Chairman, Regional Rural Bank, Near Gandhi Statue, Raigarh, C.G.

2. The General Manager, Regional Rural Bank, Near Gandhi Statue, Raigarh, C.G.

3. The Special Officer, Regional Rural Bank, (Prabhag) Regional Rural Bank, Head Office, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh.

Standing counsel for R.R.B. not available Issue Pt consider petitioner.

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(35)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 2132/2003

मामला क्रमांक ..... /सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

D. B: Hon'ble Shri Fakhruddin,

& Hon'ble Shri L.C. Bhadoo, JJ.

06/05/2004

Shri A.N. Bhakta, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Vinay Harit, Sr. Central Govt. Standing Counsel for Union of India on advance copy.

I.A.Nos.6469/2003 and 1479/2004 for urgent hearing are allowed.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Bank has framed Transfer Policy and under that policy (Annexure P/4) Article 6 reveals that the transfers of the employees will be revised each and every two years of intervals. It is contended that the evaluation has to be made in every two years in the month of January, but that practice has not been followed. He further submits that though the petitioner has made a representation (Annexure P/1) before respondents no. 1 and 3, they have not decided the same so far. He submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before the competent authority/respondents no. 1 and 3, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, material available on record and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.No. 2132/2003

मामला क्रमांक ..... /सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority/respondents no. 1 and 3 along with a copy of the petition and this order, within a period of one month, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority/respondents no. 1 and 3 shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still subsists, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P.No. 1923/2003 also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Raj /Vatti

[पीछे देखिये